

Timestamp	Access Code Validation: Enter the 5 Digit Code For Short Term Rental Options Option 1: 4 Year Sums Short Term Rental Options Option 2: 15.00 PM Per Short Term Rental Options Option 3: No cap on per Short Term Rental Options Option 4: No cap on per 6/16/2024 15:20:29	If you please briefly explain why or what you would like to see changed in our current 2024 Feedback on Pole Barn / Accessory Building Section ANNUAL CAMPERS EXCEPTIO Should property of If you prefer "No" to either of the questions above, please briefly explain your concerns or what other changes you would like to see regarding pole barns and campers to	Other Dwell Restrictions Sections for Review	For any actions you checked on the previous question, please specify the section and what exact changes you would like to see.					
6/18/2024 18:39:42	70132 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	If you are a Home owner in Lake Arrowhead, and you own additional properties, you should be able to have additional buildings on those properties, as long as these buildings are not for commercial purposes.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	I need more details on each of these items. Do not save what's behind the topic.
6/18/2024 18:39:42	85064 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	Lots should be for homes only.	LAKE RIGHTS	I did not check this box, but I am sure I will write very well.
6/18/2024 19:27:17	81896 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	I would be understanding to the fact that restrictions should allow for any single home owner to build a single barn on a lot that might not be adjacent to their home, but if it is adjacent to a home, it should be able to be built on a storage building on another lot to you, but limit it to a single building per homeowner.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	No
6/18/2024 19:27:52	33786 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	Some pole barns are much too big and campers should not become permanent residents at the campsite.	SIGNAL, BANNER, FLAG, AND ADVERTISING	Nothing checked.
6/18/2024 19:49:34	24467 1 - Most Preferred	2 1 - Most Preferred	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	Concerned about visible storage of trailers that obviously advertise a business.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	I did not check any.
6/18/2024 20:19:00	51568 4 - Least Preferred	2 1 - Most Preferred	2 1 - Most Preferred	3 Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	I did not check any.
6/18/2024 20:34:29	23189 1 - Most Preferred	2 1 - Most Preferred	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	Residential Use: Need to see before request. Signal Construction: Issue current 100 to 500 sq. ft. in place for existing lot. Current lot owners as to: Allow BOCA homes. Subtopic: Leave alone. This is a private community. Don't Leave current language alone, we are private. Lake Rights: All property owners should have same lake rights as we did when we purchased our property. If changed, it'll be too late. Enforcement: Need to see new language before approving. Notice: Need to see new language before approving. Governing Law: Need to see new language before approving.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/18/2024 20:49:20	48601 1 - Most Preferred	1	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/18/2024 21:04:26	39686 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	None	DOCKS	Existing docks grandfathered in.
6/18/2024 21:09:32	51673 4 - Least Preferred	3 1 - Most Preferred	2	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	DOCKS	I support all of proposed changes.
6/18/2024 21:12:22	47165 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	Why limit what a person can own?	Yes, I support this change.	None	DOCKS	None
6/18/2024 21:18:57	83068 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	DOCKS	I did not check a box.
6/18/2024 21:23:29	34466 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/18/2024 22:25:19	40844 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Helps limit.	Yes, I support this change.	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	I didn't check any.
6/18/2024 22:32:55	26673 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/19/2024 0:18:16	28005 4 - Least Preferred	2 1 - Most Preferred	3	No, I do not support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/19/2024 0:18:10	95662 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	I do not see a need to limit how many rentals can be issued to one person/prop.	No, I do not support this change.	I think that there are better looking than some people's barns are not a problem.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	No sections were checked.
6/19/2024 0:59:20	82791 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	Current owners may have bought properties with an in-lot.	Yes, I support this change.	Residential homes should be part of the equipment.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/19/2024 7:38:16	13445 1 - Most Preferred	2	4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY OR SERVICE BUSINESS	I do not agree that off site businesses should be allowed to operate on a lake without property.
6/19/2024 8:25:51	78988 1 - Most Preferred	2 1 - Most Preferred	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	People should be able to construct pole barns on their lot.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	I didn't check any and I made me put an answer here.
6/19/2024 9:25:36	65434 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	If there are restrictions of STR, if Association members may not have the opportunity to participate, allowing one rental property per owner would be more fair.	Yes, I support this change.	None	ENFORCEMENT NOTICE	I think the Association has handled the for years, and we should not add any restriction too much government to leave the answer?
6/19/2024 9:47:07	67865 4 - Least Preferred	3 1 - Most Preferred	2	No, I do not support this change.	There should not be a limit on how many rental properties can be owned by an individual. One rental per owner is not the point. If one or even 10 people own property, they are allowed only one rental limit. Don't restrict how many lots of homes they own. One rental only, no business rentals, no multiple home rentals listed to business. Must be a person.	Yes, I support this change.	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/19/2024 9:52:51	48748 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	There should be no restriction on pole barns, but if by chance there was, then you cannot build an accessory building.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/19/2024 11:01:29	50554 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	Having a residential home first shows your commitment that your intent is to stay and live in the association.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	No sections were checked, response not required.
6/19/2024 11:16:37	85937 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	No other concern.
6/19/2024 11:51:44	76763 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	I plan to purchase a property limited by 1 using couple, and limited to track of business potential of LAPOA property.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	x
6/19/2024 12:57:03	32022 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Doesn't this contradict Restriction Amended above?	ANNALS	See Reason: Bringing in livestock such as chickens could possibly bring in predatory animals like foxes or raccoons.
6/19/2024 17:49:09	85715 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	No businesses are allowed in LAPOA.	No, I do not support this change.	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/19/2024 18:31:16	63021 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	We don't mind who owns properties in our community as long as they are respectful.	Yes, I support this change.	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	N/A
6/20/2024 6:05:57	50962 1 - Most Preferred	3 1 - Most Preferred	2 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	I just became an eye ball, I don't want a building by my lot for the campers to store their toys such as, ATVs, etc. I do not support this change.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	N/A
6/20/2024 6:43:16	76153 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	approve other update.
6/20/2024 8:23:43	43794 4 - Least Preferred	3 1 - Most Preferred	2	Yes, I support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	Too many restrictions.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	We had the proposal read several times and everyone: Please explain what the HOA will use these fees for. We do not want to have fees added our residence.
6/20/2024 9:35:57	43770 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	because
6/20/2024 9:40:09	49068 1 - Most Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	Agree with majority but I have not built on my lot yet.
6/20/2024 10:12:00	21386 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	One home per household if you want to have a rental property for use as an investment.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	This is reasonable. One group of people has a group of a neighbor, and this is the build up we have to put up with. If anything, go to the dispute side by side under control.
6/20/2024 10:29:35	13382 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	Since they don't pay property taxes or association fees, they should have to build a home first. Then the board decides the terms. No barn is calling the boards to regulate how many they can purchase since they bypassed the one single family dwelling and/or "single family". Remember camp ground has no zoning more than long term rental. They are not property owners.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	Keep your mouth shut. I did not check any objection to be to the current deeds.
6/20/2024 11:02:58	81034 4 - Least Preferred	3 1 - Most Preferred	2	No, I do not support this change.	Demers like care of their property and pay their dues.	No, I do not support this change.	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	The truth to me is that.
6/21/2024 3:38:31	17214 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None selected.
6/21/2024 5:26:15	84847 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	No investment credits or accountability and ultimately blight.	EXEMPTIONS	A person built on our property and we have no response, yet I pay \$500 plus taxes and cannot build our dream house. How can we?
6/21/2024 11:03:23	38656 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	I support 0 rentals.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	I prefer 2 short term rentals.
6/22/2024 10:10:00	32813 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	as long as fees are paid I don't think there needs to be a cap of 2 rental per owner.	Yes, I support this change.	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	I would like to see a cap for 2 pole barns per lot. I would like to see a cap for 2 pole barns per lot. I would like to see a cap for 2 pole barns per lot. I would like to see a cap for 2 pole barns per lot.
6/22/2024 12:01:13	14004 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	Don't want to be forced to add an additional of additional.	Yes, I support this change.	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	The section requires a survey should be left in the county on Lake Arrowhead.
6/22/2024 12:38:11	54249 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	There is nothing building to building a house. What happens when the decide to leave the campground? What happens to the State when the structure?	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	me
6/22/2024 12:40:04	45464 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	Not sure how to assess this one. A pole barn would be as long as it's on just one lot, it would have to follow the restrictions above. The property would have to be big enough to eventually build a home.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	I didn't check any.
6/22/2024 12:42:30	57448 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	There is nothing building to building a house. What happens when the decide to leave the campground? What happens to the State when the structure?	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	I support the changes. The document needs to be updated.
6/22/2024 13:16:14	78722 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	There should only be one per property owner.	Yes, I support this change.	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	me
6/22/2024 14:26:37	12803 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/22/2024 15:05:33	61414 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	Agree
6/22/2024 15:22:19	97972 4 - Least Preferred	4 - Least Preferred	3	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	There are plenty of storage options outside of neighborhood. This allowance of an accessory building contradicts the purpose and reason for the pole barn accessory building change.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/22/2024 16:11:57	53802 1 - Most Preferred	2	3 4 - Least Preferred	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	None
6/22/2024 17:46:23	96464 4 - Least Preferred	1 - Most Preferred	3	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	N/A
6/22/2024 17:57:50	63876 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	What is the difference if you have 1 or 10. It doesn't matter.	No, I do not support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	No cap, no limit, no fees and stop talking about it.
6/22/2024 18:56:25	4892 1 - Most Preferred	4 - Least Preferred	2	3 Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	None	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	Leave it alone.
6/22/2024 21:04:09	36466 4 - Least Preferred	3	2 1 - Most Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	I feel a limit of 2 rental properties to be restrictive. I believe no limit or a higher limit would be better to avoid overcrowding what the membership can't control with their own rentals, then I do not support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	None	ANNALS	Animals: I don't think poultry (chickens) aligns with neighborhood aesthetic. Signs, walls, and progress Lake Arrowhead in any way. Also, before this was all checked questions that they say you after our first.
6/22/2024 21:13:11	82791 4 - Least Preferred	3 1 - Most Preferred	2	No, I do not support this change.	If you allow rentals, then I do not think limiting the number that a person/owner can own makes sense.	No, I do not support this change.	None	SIGNAL, BANNER, FLAG, AND ADVERTISING	POLITICAL signs should be hidden. This is a family-oriented subdivision, and we should not be subject to other individual's political views. Some of the signs have been offensive if not to not acceptable to be displayed in a residential neighborhood. We are up north to have fun and enjoy the beauty of our natural MtCragg.
6/22/2024 21:13:12	22701 4 - Least Preferred	3 1 - Most Preferred	2	No, I do not support this change.	If you're going to allow rentals, I don't see how it can be limited? Especially when the support a number of people and that there is a lot of other properties? I believe the restriction limiting rentals would be better to avoid overcrowding what the membership can't control with their own rentals, then I do not support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	None	SIGNAL, BANNER, FLAG, AND ADVERTISING	I don't think that any banners or political signs should be allowed to be displayed. I have found that there are some signs. I have that people/ residences are notified to their own needs, but I do not see as though we consistently in the place to display them. I will show a great deal of Negevity.
6/23/2024 2:34:43	83066 4 - Least Preferred	2	3 1 - Most Preferred	No, I do not support this change.	None	No, I do not support this change.	If I have an extra lot and need storage, I will build a pole barn. Who initiated this proposal? Someone who has a pole barn and has been full for years. You should have a home in LAPOA before building a pole barn and then to be on the board.	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION	Who will enforce this and how.

Timestamp	Access Code Validation: Enter the 5 Digit Code Pin	Short Term Rental Options Option 1: 4 Year Sumo	Short Term Rental Options Option 2: 15 STR Perm	Short Term Rental Options Option 3: No cap on pin	Short Term Rental Options Option 4: No cap, no pin	6/16/2026 15:20:29	If Not, please briefly explain why or what adjustments you would like to see regarding 2 (and/or) other items on page 6 of the 5-6 property maximum	Feedback on Pole Barn / Accessory Building Section: ANNUAL CAMPERS EXCEPTION: Should property	If you select 'No' to either of the questions above, please briefly explain your concerns or specify what changes you would like to see regarding pole barns and campers too	Other Dead Restriction Sections for Review	For any sections you checked on the previous question, please specify the section name and what exact changes or comments you would like to see.	
6/23/2026 6:47:35	65105	4 - Least Preferred		3	2 - 1 - Most Preferred		No, I do not support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or		No	
6/23/2026 8:28:59	92763		2 - 1 - Most Preferred		3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY: Permitted Home Occu	How would this apply to a daycare? Or does it not allow in home daycares?	
6/23/2026 13:06:17	66556	4 - Least Preferred		3 - 1 - Most Preferred		2	Yes, I support this change.	No, I do not support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or		There wasn't a clear list of all these sections so me not selecting any does not blanket an approval for them	
6/23/2026 13:41:13	84668	1 - Most Preferred		2	3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or		No comment	
6/23/2026 13:57:12	98055		2 - 1 - Most Preferred		3 - 4 - Least Preferred		No, I do not support this change.	One per owner	No, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	Owners have more responsibility than renters	
6/23/2026 14:02:30	75946	4 - Least Preferred		1 - Most Preferred		2	Yes, I support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	No	
6/23/2026 14:02:54	47447	4 - Least Preferred		3	2 - 1 - Most Preferred		No, I do not support this change.	If you buy a house you should be able to do what you want with it. I don't mind making a lot.	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or I'd rather see rental homes over a bunch of pole barns!	No	
6/23/2026 14:14:36	18810	1 - Most Preferred		2	3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	I don't check any boxes	
6/23/2026 14:26:06	30209	1 - Most Preferred		2	3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or L	I agree with the new proposals	
6/23/2026 14:28:44	33896	1 - Most Preferred		2	3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	LATCH is not a storage facility, it's a community and shouldn't have storage only buildings for membership use. With a primary residence on site an well make sense to have a storage barn or shed on site	N/A
6/23/2026 14:28:51	17066	4 - Least Preferred		3	2 - 1 - Most Preferred		Yes, I support this change.		No, I do not support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	RESIDENTIAL USE, COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY: (No	
6/23/2026 14:45:02	75514		2 - 1 - Most Preferred		3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.		No, I do not support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	Residential properties can have commercial business being conducted and it would not be readily known. This seems confusing to me.	
6/23/2026 14:57:20	45266	4 - Least Preferred		1 - Most Preferred		2	Yes, I support this change.	In order to maintain the residential feel, STR is an option for accessory property and a business of multiple rentals is not something I support. I support 2020 Short Term Rentals, but if they are allowed then only one per owner.	Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	Perhaps if the exception would state no lakefront or highly visible property it would be more palatable	
6/23/2026 15:01:06	80486	1 - Most Preferred		2	3 - 4 - Least Preferred		No, I do not support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	ANIMALS	
6/23/2026 15:21:50	55741		2 - 1 - Most Preferred		3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	ANIMALS: Chickens should not be allowed.	
6/23/2026 16:02:23	52136	4 - Least Preferred		3	2 - 1 - Most Preferred		Yes, I support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	Nothing selected	
6/23/2026 16:04:38	76583	4 - Least Preferred		3	2 - 1 - Most Preferred		No, I do not support this change.		Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or		me	
6/23/2026 16:53:36	30792		2 - 1 - Most Preferred		3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	Looks good to me	
6/23/2026 16:56:13	47408	1 - Most Preferred		2	3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.	I don't think we should rent at all	No, I do not support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	N/A	
6/23/2026 17:51:33	24828	1 - Most Preferred		2	3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	Campers need to have a permanent residence for pole barn.	
6/23/2026 18:28:04	42863	4 - Least Preferred		3	2 - 1 - Most Preferred		No, I do not support this change.	Never was talked about. Should be your choice & there as many as you'd like. Not we are trying to get our head TSD DEEP into the pool. 2 or better then 1. I support 2020 Short Term Rentals, but if they are allowed then only one per owner.	No, I do not support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	No	
6/23/2026 18:32:30	72656	4 - Least Preferred		3	2 - 1 - Most Preferred		No, I do not support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	Quite frankly that is a ton of crap to review. Acaulamba "face paint"	
6/23/2026 18:26:37	22558		3 - 1 - Most Preferred		2 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	I don't check any boxes. Commercial Activity is Not.	
6/23/2026 18:52:22	11799	4 - Least Preferred		3	2 - 1 - Most Preferred		No, I do not support this change.		Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	No restrictions, pole barns are much better than storing everything outside. Also too hard	RESIDENTIAL USE, TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTIO	
6/23/2026 20:59:15	87710	1 - Most Preferred		2	3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.	Property rights are important in this county	No, I do not support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	no home, no pole barn	
6/24/2026 7:08:49	73314	4 - Least Preferred		3	2 - 1 - Most Preferred		No, I do not support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	Unable to print the entire document for a closure review	TYPE, SIZE, AND CONSTRUCTION, SETBACKS, DI	
6/24/2026 11:41:00	81284		2		3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.	This is the sole income source for some families	Yes, I support this change.	Yes, allow one accessory building on vacant lots or	Not enough time to review these extensive changes	
6/24/2026 13:04:19	76119	1 - Most Preferred		2	3 - 4 - Least Preferred		No, I do not support this change.		No, I do not support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	LAKE RIGHTS	
6/24/2026 18:42:30	22323	1 - Most Preferred		2	3 - 4 - Least Preferred		Yes, I support this change.		Yes, I support this change.	No, require a primary residential home on the prop	We don't want our neighborhood to be congested with just pole barns	